

CASE NAME: Jaffit vs Salvestrini

DATE	DISPOSITION
	<u>February 26, 2013</u>
	<p>Trial Management Conference held. The applicant is now represented by counsel, Michael Waly, who will be appearing as counsel at the trial of this matter which is set for March 25, 2013. Mr Waly indicates that he is prepared for trial.</p> <p>The Respondent is self represented and is attempting to retain counsel on a legal aid basis but is uncertain if he will be able to retain counsel for the trial.</p> <p>The issues involve 2 young children and the parties are polarized as to their positions.</p> <p>Presently the Respondent's access to the children has been suspended by the order of Koke, J granted on December 13, 2012.</p> <p>There are also outstanding issues</p>

CASE NAME: Jaffit vs Salvestrini

DATE	DISPOSITION
	<p style="text-align: center;">2)</p> <p>as to child support, <del>and</del> equalization of net family property and the applicant's wish to <del>change the residence of the children</del> It appears that this matter is proceeding to trial which likely last 4 to 5 days. There may be <del>production</del> disclosure issues that are unreserved <del>issues</del> that relate to the Respondent's criminal record and outstanding criminal charges which could not be resolved today on consent. Leave is granted to the applicant to bring a motion prior to trial with respect to these outstanding disclosure issues.</p> <p>There is an outstanding issue as to child support. There are production issues relating to this and in this regard the Respondent is to provide to the Solicitor for the applicant the following by March 11, 2013:</p>

CASE NAME: Jaffit vs Salvestrini

DATE	DISPOSITION
	3
	(a) a copy of his 2011 notice of assessment.
	(b) <del>the</del> copies of all T-4's for 2012.
	(c) a list of all jobs held in 2013 and all income earned to February 28, 2013.
	(d) <del>a copy of</del> a list for all employment applied for in 2012;
	(e) a copy of his most recent record of employment.
	This order may be taken out by the applicant without the necessity of approval by the Respondent.
	Cecil Jareau J.